

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,878	01/04/2001	Masayuki Matsuda	16869N019300	4088
20350	7590 07/25/2003			
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER EIGHTH FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, TU X	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2684	
			DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/755,878	MATSUDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tu X Nguyen	2684			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a repiply within the statutory minimum of thirty (dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practic					
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application	20				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii iidiii consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement				
Application Papers	or oleonorrequirement.				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in App	olication No			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional application has bee	en received.			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes Attachment(s)	suc priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and Trademark Office					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 and 8, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Muramatsu (US Pub. 2001/0051536).

Regarding claim 1, Muramatsu discloses a portable mobile unit capable of alerting on incoming of a signal by a ringing sound, comprising:

a ringing sound generator (see Par.0032) having a plurality of sound sources therewith (see fig.7b); and

a controller (12) for controlling operations of said portable mobile unit, wherein said controller controls said ringing sound generator so as to generate the ringing sound using at least one of said sound sources upon basis of a predetermined condition when the signal comes in (see Par.0032-36).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2684

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 2, 4, 6-7 and 9-10, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu and further in view of Hayato (US Patent 6,175,721).

Regarding claims 2, 4, 6-7, and 9, Muramatsu discloses disclose everything as claim 1 above. Muramatsu further discloses "combination of said sound sources based on the predetermined conditions" (see fig.4), a memory (6) for storing a plurality of sound data (fig.7b).

Muramatsu fails to disclose a reproduction timing memory for storing reproduction timings for selection of the sound to be reproduced.

Hayato discloses a reproduction timing memory for storing reproduction timings for selection of the sound to be reproduced (see fig.2a,b,c,d). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Muramatsu with the above teaching of Hayato in order to provide a selected ringing sound pattern within a control of time set from user's convenience usage.

Regarding claim 10, the modified Muramatsu discloses predetermined condition is that a day of incoming of the signal is contained within a period which is set up in advance (see Hayato, col.4 lines 9-14).

5. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu, in view of Hayato and further in view of Yoshino (US Patent 6,308,086).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claims 3 and 5, the combination Muramatsu and Hayato fails to disclose sound data contains sound data of wave-form coding method, in which quantization width is set up depending upon a level of amplitude, and sound data of an analytic composition coding method, in which the signal is modeled so as to be coded.

Yoshino discloses sound data contains sound data of wave-form coding method, in which quantization width is set up depending upon a level of amplitude, and sound data of an analytic composition coding method, in which the signal is modeled so as to be coded (see col.1 line 5 through col.2 line 63). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of the combined Muramatsu and Hayato with the above teaching Yoshino in order to enable the user to set melody ringing tone when the cellular phone receives an incoming call.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu and further in view of Hoashi et al. (US Patent 5,870,684).

Regarding claim 11, Muramatsu discloses a specific person (see fig.4).

However, Muramatsu fails to disclose a counter for counting number of times of incoming calls wherein predetermined condition is that said number of times of incoming calls within a range of number of times which is set up in advance.

Hoashi et al. disclose a counter for counting number of times of incoming calls wherein predetermined condition is that said number of times of incoming calls within a range of number of times which is set up in advance (see col.5 lines 46-59). Therefore,

Art Unit: 2684

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Muramatsu with the above teaching Hoashi et al. in order to change the pattern of the alert tone.

7. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu, in view of Kraft et al. (US Patent 6,463,278) and further in view of Motegi (US Patent RE37,281).

Regarding claim 12, Muramatsu discloses notify the user of a call by delivering the sound pattern. However, Muramtsu fails to disclose remaining battery capacity detector for detecting remaining battery capacity of the portable mobile unit.

Kraft et al. disclose receiving incoming calls in response predetermined user mode selection (see col.5 lines 9-19).

Motegi discloses remaining battery capacity detector for detecting remaining battery capacity of the portable mobile unit (see col.1 lines 50-65). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Muramatsu with the above teaching of Kraft et al. and Motegi in order to alert mobile user when there is incoming call by producing different ringing pattern in response user predetermined setting associated with detection of battery life.

8. Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu, in view of Kraft et al.

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claims 13-14, Muramatsu fails to disclose a sound detector for detecting condition of sounds, wherein said predetermined condition is that an environmental sound of said portable mobile unit is contained within a condition of sound which is set up in advance, when said signal comes in.

Motegi discloses a sound detector for detecting condition of sounds, wherein said predetermined condition is that an environmental sound of said portable mobile unit is contained within a condition of sound which is set up in advance, when said signal comes in (see col.3 lines 52-67 and col.10 lines 56-59). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Muramatsu with the above teaching of Kraft in order to provide higher ringing volume levels in noisy environment.

9. Claim 15 id rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muramatsu, in view of Kraft et al. and further in view of Cobb (US Patent 5,771,001).

Regarding claim 15, Muramatsu discloses notify the user of a call by delivering the sound pattern. However, Muramtsu fails to disclose a heat sensor and a pressure sensor.

Kraft et al. disclose receiving incoming calls in response predetermined user mode selection (see col.5 lines 9-19).

Cobb discloses a heat sensor (69) and a pressure sensor (68). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Muramatsu with the above teaching of Kraft et al. and

Art Unit: 2684

Cobb in order to alert mobile user when there is incoming call by producing different ringing pattern in response user predetermined setting associated with temperature and blood pressure detection.

Page 7

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, MAUNG NAY A, can be reached at (703) 308-7749.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Marklow

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

June 5, 2003